Message

From: Shoaff, John [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AC16FB09CF2C44ADB34A7405DC331532-JSHOAFF]

Sent: 5/31/2018 12:51:15 PM

To: Woods, Clint [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=bc65010f5c2e48f4bc2aa050db50d198-Woods, Clin]

Subject: RE: Quick SAB Work Group Question

Clint,

Sure, this is summarized in the SAB WG Memo to the board,

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

John

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

JOHN SHOAFF | DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF AIR POLICY & PROGRAM SUPPORT (OAPPS)
OFFICE OF AIR & RADIATION | U.S. EPA | WJC NORTH 5442-B

From: Woods, Clint

Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 8:17 AM **To:** Shoaff, John <Shoaff.John@epa.gov> **Subject:** Quick SAB Work Group Question

John,

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5 would you remind me of the timeline of questions/responses to the work group's fact finding efforts in lead up to this meeting? Thanks!

PRUITT'S SAB STORY: EPA's independent Science Advisory Board will meet today and Friday for the first time since Administrator Scott Pruitt barred scientists on the committee from receiving EPA grants and <u>boosted</u> its ranks with industry representatives — and the group's <u>agenda</u> is packed. The SAB will look at Pruitt's "secret science" proposal to bar EPA from using studies that don't make public all their data, as well as the Clean Power Plan repeal, Pruitt's decision to relax 2022-25 auto emissions standards, changes to the 2016 methane rule for new oil and gas wells and effort to repeal a rule regulating emissions from "glider" trucks — and that's not all.

A lot to dive into: The heavy slate of issues is unusual for the advisory board, Pro's Alex Guillén reports. Several current and former SAB members say it's unprecedented for the board to consider reviewing so many regulatory actions. But like green groups and critics of Pruitt, the SAB scientists say EPA has declined to share information about its regulatory rollbacks. "The agency has not been forthcoming about how they're developing the relevant science work products," said Chris Frey, a professor of environmental engineering at North Carolina State University and a SAB member since 2012.

EPA keeps quiet: SAB has been conducting twice-yearly reviews of EPA's planned regulatory actions since 2012, members said. It's an effort designed to enable the advisory board to help guide EPA before its rules are finalized. But this time around, the SAB's working groups say EPA wasn't being forthcoming with information. "Basically they just didn't provide us with any answers," said Frey. "That kind of put us in a position where all we can really do is say EPA has not identified the science or any plan to review it, and clearly there are science issues that are in the proposed rule."

What to expect: It's not immediately clear whether the full SAB will vote today to advance the reviews. But Frey noted that some of the members appointed by Pruitt had been on the working groups, giving him hope that the full board will back the recommendations to look deeper into the regulatory rollbacks. Should SAB adopt them, Alex reports, it likely would mean setting up special subcommittees that include current members plus outside experts to question EPA further. Read more here.